# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Turman Residence-Cingular Wireless, P05-004, Log No. 05-08-006

August 3, 2006

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the							
Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?							
	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							
While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any off-site improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.							
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.							
<u>III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE</u> - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							

The project site obtains its water supply from an on-site well. The project is for an unmanned cellular facility which will not use any groundwater for any purpose.

### **IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

#### Discussion:

**Wetland and Wetland Buffers:** The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

**Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:** The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

**Steep Slopes:** The average slope for the project site is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be place in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are steep slopes on the property, however, the specific lease area is not considered a steep slope. Therefore, the project is in conformance with the RPO.

**Sensitive Habitats:** Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Jarrett Ramaiya on April 25, 2005. However, the project will not complete any development, grading, grubbing, clearing, or any other activity that will damage the sensitive habitat lands. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
$\boxtimes$		

#### Discussion:

The Department of Planning and Land Use (DPLU) and Department of Public Works (DPW) staff have reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) For Minor Projects submitted to the County of San Diego on April 27, 2005, and prepared by Karen Adler of Plan Com, Inc., for the proposed unmanned wireless telecommunications facility in the Twin Oaks Community Planning Area within the County of San Diego. This document complies with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO, Section 67.817).

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE

#### Discussion:

The proposed project consists of the installation of eight Ericsson RBS 2106 equipment cabinets which will be enclosed within a 10-foot high concrete wall enclosure. Ambient noise conditions from existing onsite (un-identified) cellular equipment will not be considered in the analysis due to its proximity to the property lines and measured sound pressure level (57.3 dBA at 5 feet). An existing on-site residence is located 70 feet south of the proposed equipment. Based on the Euler noise analysis, the proposed Ericsson equipment cabinets will generate sound levels as high as 36.3 dBA at all property lines therefore, will meet the property line noise level limits of the County Noise Ordinance(Section36.404).

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

ND07-06\0508006-ORDCHKLST;jcr